

Mitigation Due To Contamination Of Sarin Chemical Weapons To Reduce The Nubika Disaster

Wulan Nurfitriani ¹⁾, G. Royke Deksino ²⁾, Jupriyanto ³⁾, M. Taufiq Ramadhan ⁴⁾

^{1,2,3,4)}Defense Industry Study Program, Faculty of Defense Science and Technology,
The Republic of Indonesia Defense University, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author

Email: wulan.nurfitriani55@gmail.com

Abstract

The misuse of chemical weapons can have highly dangerous consequences for both humans and the surrounding environment. One of the deadliest chemical weapons is sarin, which can lead to death within minutes of exposure. To reduce the consequences of a chemical weapons disaster, effective contamination mitigation is required. This research employs a qualitative descriptive method, with a literature review study, to discuss contamination mitigation strategies that can be used to minimize the consequences of a chemical weapons disaster caused by the use of sarin. Some of these strategies include the use of protective clothing, gas masks, and environmental decontamination. In emergency situations, protective clothing and gas masks can help shield individuals from exposure to chemical weapons. Furthermore, timely environmental decontamination can aid in reducing contamination levels and the risk of exposure. In addition to contamination mitigation strategies, preventive efforts are also crucial in lessening the impact of a chemical weapons disaster involving sarin. Prevention efforts involve chemical weapon surveillance, training and education, as well as the development of better detection and protection technologies. By implementing the appropriate contamination mitigation strategies and effective prevention efforts, it is hoped that the consequences of a chemical weapons disaster involving sarin can be significantly reduced.

Keywords: *Chemical Technology, Chemical Weapons, Contamination, Sarin, Environment*

INTRODUCTION

The imperative need for mitigation strategies arises from the pervasive risk of contamination caused by the presence of chemical or biological substances within the human body or the environment. Among the myriad potential threats, one that demands particular attention is the looming danger of sarin nerve gas. This chemical agent poses significant risks to both human health and the broader environment, necessitating comprehensive measures to address and counteract its potential impact. The threat of sarin nerve gas contamination can manifest through various avenues, including industrial accidents, chemical leaks, inadvertent contact with infectious materials, and the deliberate misuse of sarin gas. Each of these scenarios underscores the importance of a multi-faceted approach to mitigation, taking into account the diverse sources and pathways through which sarin exposure may occur.

Industrial accidents represent a prominent source of potential contamination, where the release of sarin gas into the environment can result from unforeseen events in manufacturing or production processes (Van der Kuijp, Huang & Cherry, 2013). Chemical leaks, whether from storage facilities or transportation systems, further contribute to the risk landscape, emphasizing the need for stringent safety protocols and preventive measures to contain and neutralize potential sarin exposure. Moreover, inadvertent contact with infectious materials introduces another layer of complexity to the challenge of mitigating sarin threats. Whether through mishandling in laboratories or accidental exposure in healthcare settings, the risk of contamination remains ever-present, necessitating heightened awareness, strict adherence to safety protocols, and effective decontamination procedures.

Perhaps most alarmingly, the intentional misuse of sarin gas represents a deliberate and malicious threat that demands robust countermeasures. Terrorism, in particular, poses a

significant risk, as individuals or groups with nefarious intentions may seek to deploy sarin as a weapon, causing widespread harm and panic. Mitigating this specific threat requires not only enhanced security measures but also international cooperation and intelligence-sharing to identify and prevent potential acts of sarin-related terrorism. Mitigation is essential concerning sarin gas because it is a highly toxic and deadly chemical weapon for humans. Sarin gas can cause damage to the human nervous system and lead to death due to respiratory and organ failure. The use of sarin gas in acts of terror or armed conflicts can result in severe health impacts on victims and those affected, including skin burns, headaches, nausea, seizures, and even death (Sulisyanto, 2013).

Sarin, originally developed as a chemical weapon in the early 1930s, stands out as a highly toxic organophosphate compound known for its devastating effects on the human nervous system (Marrs, Maynard & Sidell 2007). This chemical poses a severe threat due to its rapid lethality when encountered in sufficient quantities. The mechanism of sarin's toxicity lies in its ability to interfere with the normal functioning of the nervous system by targeting a crucial enzyme known as acetylcholinesterase. Acetylcholinesterase plays a vital role in regulating nerve signals within the body. When exposed to sarin, this enzyme faces inhibition, resulting in the disruption of normal nerve signal transmission. The consequences of such interference are profound and can manifest in a range of symptoms, showcasing the potency of sarin as a chemical weapon. Individuals exposed to sarin may experience seizures, muscle weakness, and, in extreme cases, rapid death (Witarti, 2018).

The debilitating effects of sarin are not limited to immediate consequences; rather, they can inflict long-term damage on the nervous system (Bide & Risk, 2004). The inhibition of acetylcholinesterase can lead to permanent impairment, leaving survivors with lasting neurological issues. The insidious nature of sarin's impact underscores the urgency for stringent measures to prevent its production, proliferation, and use in warfare or acts of terrorism. Sarin, a potent and highly toxic chemical weapon, stands as a grave threat to global security, being classified as an extremely dangerous substance (Tucker, 2009). Its utilization represents a blatant and severe violation of international law, with stringent prohibitions outlined by the Chemical Weapons Convention, a pivotal accord adopted by the United Nations in 1997. The international community, recognizing the destructive potential of sarin and other chemical weapons, has collectively committed to preventing their deployment and use.

The Chemical Weapons Convention serves as a robust framework for the elimination of chemical weapons and the prevention of their proliferation (Thakur & Haru, 2007). This multilateral treaty not only underscores the imperative of disarmament but also establishes comprehensive measures for monitoring and verifying compliance among its signatories. Parties to the convention are bound by a shared commitment to the complete prohibition of the development, production, acquisition, stockpiling, retention, and use of chemical weapons. The prohibition of sarin and similar chemical weapons is rooted in the broader objective of fostering global peace and security. The devastating consequences of their use, both immediate and long-term, underscore the necessity of a collective and resolute stance against such weapons. The international community's dedication to the principles enshrined in the Chemical Weapons Convention reflects a united front against the egregious violation of human rights and the potential for catastrophic harm that sarin poses (Ridho, 2022).

RESEARCH METHODS

The research methodology used in this study employs a qualitative descriptive approach to determine the purpose of researching the mitigation of sarin chemical weapon contamination, aimed at reducing nubika disasters, and educating the public about potential misuse of sarin chemical weapons. This research involves the collection of literature studies, literature reviews, mass media, e-books, electronic media, and other data sources.

This research will explain the process of sarin chemical weapon contamination, the fundamental mitigation steps to be taken when there is sarin contamination in the body, the possible reactions that may occur, and its effects on the environment. By studying this, we can gain a better understanding of sarin chemical weapons and provide recommendations to readers in case of unforeseen events, thereby minimizing the loss of lives

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Nubika, Decontamination & Sarin

Nubika, an acronym for "Nuclear, Biological, Chemical," serves as a comprehensive classification encompassing compounds and threats that are frequently addressed in the realms of defense, security, and emergency management. In the field of defense, a multifaceted approach is employed to effectively tackle these threats, involving the development and implementation of advanced strategies and tactics. This includes the creation of sophisticated detection systems, the enhancement of protective measures, and the establishment of robust decontamination protocols (Wardani, 2022). Decontamination, a critical process in mitigating the impact of hazardous materials, involves the systematic removal or reduction of contaminants, which can pose significant risks to the environment or human health. These contaminants, often of a chemical or biological nature, necessitate thorough decontamination procedures to ensure the safety of both the surroundings and individuals. The primary objective of decontamination is to substantially diminish or entirely eliminate these harmful substances, thereby rendering the affected environment or material safe for human use or access (Silakhuddin, 2015).

Sarin, a perilous chemical compound notorious for its use as a weapon, demands swift and meticulous decontamination procedures to mitigate the associated risks of poisoning in both humans and animals, as well as to prevent lasting environmental damage. Originating as an organophosphorus compound developed for use as a chemical weapon in the 1930s, sarin possesses devastating nerve effects capable of inducing rapid death when exposed in significant quantities (Ghiffari, 2019). The highly reactive and dangerous nature of sarin becomes particularly evident upon human exposure, where it targets the nervous system by inhibiting the activity of the cholinesterase enzyme, responsible for regulating nerve and muscle functions. Consequently, exposure to sarin can result in a range of severe symptoms, including but not limited to difficulty breathing, headaches, nausea, vomiting, seizures, coma, and even fatality (Dermawan, 2013). The multifaceted and interconnected aspects of Nubika, decontamination protocols, and the specific risks associated with sarin underscore the imperative of comprehensive strategies and international cooperation in addressing the complex challenges posed by chemical and biological threats.

Sarin Gas Attack

The devastating sarin gas attack perpetrated by the Aum Shinrikyo terrorist group unfolded in Tokyo on March 20, 1995, casting a dark shadow over the city's subway system. This heinous act, which shocked the world, saw the release of sarin gas on multiple subway lines, leading to a tragic loss of 12 lives and leaving over 5,000 individuals injured. The sheer scale

and profound consequences of this attack garnered international attention, underscoring the grave threat posed by chemical weapons in the hands of terrorist entities (Zanders, 1999). The Tokyo sarin attack not only resulted in immediate casualties but also left an indelible mark on global consciousness due to its far-reaching impact (Pinsdorf, 2004). The Aum Shinrikyo's utilization of sarin gas in a densely populated urban setting highlighted the potential for mass harm and underscored the need for enhanced international efforts to prevent the proliferation and use of chemical weapons. The attack prompted a reassessment of security measures and emergency response protocols in the face of unconventional threats.

The method of sarin gas dispersion during the Tokyo attack is believed to have been airborne, further amplifying the scale of the tragedy (Baker, 2012). The insidious nature of sarin, known for its rapid and lethal effects, compounded the challenges faced by emergency responders and healthcare providers in managing the aftermath of the incident. The global response to the Tokyo sarin attack emphasized the imperative for increased cooperation among nations to counteract the evolving threats posed by terrorism and the potential use of chemical weapons. The sarin gas attack in Tokyo serves as a poignant reminder of the grave consequences that can arise from the malevolent use of chemical weapons. Its impact reverberated beyond the immediate loss of life and injuries, prompting a reevaluation of international security measures and fostering a collective commitment to preventing such atrocities in the future.

Physical Properties of Sarin And Side Effects of Contamination

Sarin is considered an extremely lethal chemical weapon because it can quickly attack the human nervous system. It works by inhibiting an enzyme called acetylcholinesterase, which regulates nerve signals in the body (Putri, 2018). If someone is exposed to sarin, this enzyme is inhibited, causing uncontrolled nerve signals. This can lead to symptoms such as seizures, muscle weakness, death, and permanent nerve system damage. Sarin is classified as an extremely dangerous chemical weapon, and its use is considered a serious violation of international law. The use of chemical weapons like sarin is strictly prohibited by the Chemical Weapons Convention adopted by the United Nations in 1997. If someone is exposed to a sufficient amount of sarin, possible side effects may include:

- 1) Seizures: Sarin can cause excessive and uncontrolled muscle contractions, resulting in seizures and severe brain damage.
- 2) Respiratory Problems: Sarin can lead to breathing difficulties, including shortness of breath, asthma, bronchospasms, and even respiratory failure.
- 3) Red and Watery Eyes: Sarin can irritate and inflame the eyes, causing redness, watering, and pain.
- 4) Nausea and Vomiting: Sarin exposure can induce nausea and vomiting, leading to dehydration and severe electrolyte loss.
- 5) Headaches: Sarin can cause severe headaches, which may worsen if significant brain damage occurs.
- 6) Loss of Consciousness: Exposure to a sufficient amount of sarin can lead to loss of consciousness or coma, posing a life-threatening risk.

The side effects of sarin can vary depending on the dosage and duration of exposure. If you suspect exposure to sarin or other chemical weapons, seek immediate medical assistance. It is essential to remember that decontamination should be performed by trained individuals with experience in handling chemical weapons, as errors in the decontamination process can increase the risk of poisoning and worsen the situation (William, 1998).

Early Detection of Sarin Gas

Therefore, proper mitigation and preventive measures must be taken to reduce the impact of sarin exposure and protect human health and the environment from this highly reactive chemical weapon. Some types of detection equipment that can be used to detect sarin include:

- 1) **Gas Detectors:** Gas detectors use sensors to detect the presence of specific chemical compounds in the air. Gas detectors can measure the concentration of sarin in the air and provide early warnings when sarin is detected.
- 2) **Surface Detectors:** Surface detectors are devices used to collect samples from surfaces or materials suspected of being contaminated with sarin. These samples are then analyzed in a laboratory to detect the presence of sarin.
- 3) **Clothing Detectors:** Clothing detectors are devices used to detect the presence of sarin on clothing or other materials. Clothing detectors are typically used by personnel involved in chemical or chemical weapon handling.
- 4) **Molecule Detectors:** Molecule detectors use spectroscopy technology to detect sarin molecules in the air or on surfaces.

It is crucial to emphasize that sarin detection should be carried out by trained and experienced individuals in handling chemical weapons, as errors in the detection process can increase the risk of poisoning and worsen the situation.

Mitigation of Sarin Chemical Weapons

Sarin chemical weapons are hazardous weapons that can cause death or damage to the human nervous system. To reduce the impact of sarin chemical weapons, some mitigation measures that can be taken include:

- 1) **Self-Protection:** Wearing a gas mask or protective clothing when in an environment exposed to sarin chemical weapons can help prevent nerve system damage or death.
- 2) **Detection:** Improving the ability to detect sarin chemical weapons can help reduce their impact. For example, chemical sensors can be strategically placed to detect potential sarin exposure.
- 3) **Cleaning:** Cleaning and decontaminating areas exposed to sarin chemical weapons can help reduce the danger. Proper cleaning steps should be taken to ensure that the exposed area is safe for future use.
- 4) **Law Enforcement:** Enforcing the law and increasing public awareness of the dangers of sarin chemical weapons can help reduce their use.
- 5) **International Cooperation:** Enhancing international cooperation in preventing and reducing the use of sarin chemical weapons can help reduce their global impact.

However, the best course of action is to prevent the use of sarin chemical weapons altogether by prohibiting their use internationally and enforcing such a ban.

CONCLUSION

In this qualitative descriptive approach literature study, it is concluded that sarin can be used as an extremely dangerous chemical weapon and can cause serious nuclear disasters if used or leaked. Therefore, mitigation of sarin chemical weapon contamination is essential to reduce the impact of nuclear disasters and protect the public from exposure to dangerous chemical weapons. Some mitigation steps that can be taken to reduce the impact of sarin exposure include:

- 1) **Training and Education About Chemical Weapons:** The public and disaster response personnel should receive adequate training and education on chemical weapons, including sarin, to increase awareness and preparedness for nuclear disasters.

- 2) Emergency Planning and Preparation: Adequate emergency planning and preparation should be carried out to prepare for the possibility of nuclear disasters, including the development of evacuation plans, protective equipment, and the preparation of shelter locations.
- 3) Decontamination and Medical Treatment: Proper decontamination and medical treatment efforts should be carried out as soon as possible to reduce the effects of sarin exposure on the body and prevent permanent damage to the nervous system.
- 4) Environmental Monitoring: Environmental surveillance and monitoring should be conducted regularly to detect any sarin exposure and take necessary actions to reduce the impact of exposure.

In conclusion, mitigating sarin chemical weapon contamination requires cooperation and preparedness from the community and disaster response personnel to reduce the impact of nuclear disasters and protect public health from dangerous chemical weapons. Thus, this journal can serve as a suitable reference in case unexpected events occur.

REFERENCES

- Baker, D. (2012). Chemical weapons: deliberate release of chemical agents. *Essentials of Toxicology for Health Protection*, 210.
- Bide, R. W., & Risk, D. J. (2004). Inhalation toxicity in mice exposed to sarin (GB) for 20–720 min. *Journal of Applied Toxicology: An International Journal*, 24(6), 459-467.
- Dermawan, B., & Hardian, H. (2013). Hubungan Antara Aktivitas Asetilkolinesterase Darah Dengan Tekanan Darah Petani Yang Terpapar Organofosfat (Doctoral dissertation, Diponegoro University).
- Ghiffari, A. Z. (2019). Penegakan Hukum Terhadap Pemerintah Suriah atas Penggunaan Gas Sarin dalam Konflik Bersenjata di Suriah (Studi Kasus Penyerangan Kota Khan Shaykhun-Suriah).
- Kafrawi, R. M. (2018). Perusakan Lingkungan Sebagai Tindak Pidana Terorisme. *Legality: Jurnal Ilmiah Hukum*.
- Marrs, T. T., Maynard, R. L., & Sidell, F. (Eds.). (2007). *Chemical warfare agents: toxicology and treatment*.
- Pinsdorf, M. K. (2004). *All crises are global: Managing to escape chaos*. Fordham Univ Press.
- Putri, R. D. (2018). Tinjauan Yuridis Penggunaan Senjata Terlarang Pada Perang Saudara Di Suriah Sebagai Bentuk Pelanggaran Ham Berat Berdasarkan Hukum Humaniter Internasional (Doctoral Dissertation, Fakultas Hukum Unpas).
- Ridho, A. R., Ridho, A. R., & Kusniati, R. (2022). Urgensi Larangan Penggunaan Senjata Kimia di Suriah menurut The Chemical Weapon Convention 1993. *Uti Possidetis: Journal of International Law ISSN 2721-8333*
- Silakhuddin, A. R. A., & Fatmasari, D. (2015). Efektifitas Larutan Alkohol yang Berulang Kali Dipakai dalam Daya Hambat Bakteri *Streptococcus mutans*. *Jurnal Riset Kesehatan*, 4(3), 807-812
- Suliyanto, S., & Muradi, M. (2013). Dekontaminasi Mikroskop Optik Hotcell 107 Instalasi Radiometalurgi Dengan Cara Kering. *PIN Pengelolaan Instalasi Nuklir*, (7).
- Tening, E. C. Tinjauan Terhadap Penggunaan Senjata Kimia Sebagai Salah Satu Jenis Senjata Pemusnah Massal Di Dalam Konflik Bersenjata Di Suriah Berdasarkan Chemical Weapons Convention (Cwc). *Jurnal Hukum Prodi Ilmu Hukum Fakultas Hukum Untan (Jurnal Mahasiswa S1 Fakultas Hukum) Universitas Tanjungpura*,

- Thakur, R., & Haru, E. (2007). The Chemical Weapons Convention: Implementation, Challenges, Opportunities.
- Tucker, J. B. (2009). The future of chemical weapons. *The New Atlantis*, (26), 3-29.
- Van der Kuijp, T. J., Huang, L., & Cherry, C. R. (2013). Health hazards of China's lead-acid battery industry: a review of its market drivers, production processes, and health impacts. *Environmental Health*, 12, 1-10.
- Wardani, P., Sutisna, S., & Supriyatno, M. (2022). KEPEMIMPINAN STRATEGIS MENGHADAPI ANCAMAN NIR-MILITER; DI TENGAH PANDEMI COVID-19 DALAM PERTAHANAN NIR-MILITER. *Citizen: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin Indonesia*
- Witarti, D. I., & Puspitasari, A. (2018). Analisis Kegagalan Organization For Prohibited Of Chemical Weapon (Opcw) Sebagai Organisasi Perlucutan Senjata Pada Konflik Suriah. *Jurnal Pertahanan & Bela Negara*
- Zanders, J. P. (1999). Assessing the risk of chemical and biological weapons proliferation to terrorists. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 6(4), 17-34.